

RETURNED MAIL
L.R. 83.3.e requires prompt
notification to the court of
any change of address. 8/27/08

CLOSED

U.S. District Court
Southern District of California (San Diego)
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 3:08-cv-01232-J-WMC
Internal Use Only

Suchil v. Newton Station et al
Assigned to: Judge Napoleon A. Jones, Jr
Referred to: Magistrate Judge William McCurine, Jr
Demand: \$5,500,000
Cause: 42:1983 Prisoner Civil Rights

Date Filed: 07/09/2008
Date Terminated: 08/04/2008
Jury Demand: None
Nature of Suit: 550 Prisoner: Civil
Rights
Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Plaintiff**Mario Suchil**

represented by **Mario Suchil**
F-96910
California Correctional Institution
PO Box 608
Tehachapi, CA 93581
PRO SE

V.

Defendant**Newton Station****Defendant****Judges, .****Defendant****Lee Baca**
*Sheriff***Defendant****Operation Safety Jail**

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
08/04/2008	4	Case transferred to the Central District of California, Western Division. Files transferred electronically to: *Central District of California, Western Division**312 North Spring Street, Room G-8**Los Angeles, CA 90012*. Signed by Judge Napoleon A. Jones, Jr on 8/1/2008. (All non-registered users served via U.S. Mail Service).(rmm) (Additional attachment(s) added on

		8/6/2008: # 1 Order) (rmm). (Entered: 08/06/2008)
07/09/2008	3	Prisoner Trust Fund Account Statement by Mario Suchil. (rmm) (Entered: 07/10/2008)
07/09/2008	2	MOTION to Appoint Counsel by Mario Suchil. (rmm) (Entered: 07/10/2008)
07/09/2008	1	COMPLAINT against Newton Station, Judges, Lee Baca, Operation Safety Jail (Filing fee \$ 0. No fee paid, submitted without IFP motion), filed by Mario Suchil.(rmm) (kaj). (Entered: 07/10/2008)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Southern District of California

Office Of The Clerk
880 Front Street, Room 4290
San Diego, California 92101-8900
Phone: (619) 557-5600
Fax: (619) 702-9900

W. Samuel Hamrick, Jr.,
Clerk of Court

August 6, 2008

Clerk, U.S. District Court
Central District of California, Western Division
312 North Spring Street, Room G-8
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Mario Suchil v. Newton Station, et al., Case No. 3:08-cv-01232-J-WMC

Dear Sir or Madam:

Pursuant to Order transferring the above-entitled action to your District, we are electronically transmitting herewith our entire original file.

Sincerely yours,

W. Samuel Hamrick, Jr.,
Clerk of the Court

By: s/ R. Mullin, Deputy Clerk

Copy to Attorney for Plaintiffs:

Copy to Attorney for Defendants:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
FILED

2008 AUG -4 PM 2: 19

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

By RW DEPUTY

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

MARIO SUCHIL,
CDCR #F-96910,

Plaintiff,

vs.

NEWTON STATION; UNNAMED
JUDGES; Los Angeles County Superior
Court; LEE BACA, Los Angeles County
Sheriff; LOS ANGELES COUNTY JAIL,

Defendants.

Civil No. 08-1232 J (WMc)

**ORDER TRANSFERRING CIVIL
ACTION FOR LACK OF PROPER
VENUE TO THE CENTRAL
DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA,
WESTERN DIVISION PURSUANT
TO 28 U.S.C. § 84(c)(2),
28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)
AND 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a)**

Plaintiff, Mario Suchil, a state inmate currently incarcerated at the California Correctional Institution located in Tehachapi, California, has filed a civil rights Complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff alleges that his constitutional rights were violated while he was housed at the Los Angeles County Jail in 2007. (See Compl. at 1.) In addition, Plaintiff has filed a Motion to Appoint Counsel [Doc. No. 2], and a Prisoner Trust Fund Account Statement [Doc. No. 3].

I. Lack of Proper Venue

Upon initial review of the Complaint, the Court finds that Plaintiff's case lacks proper venue. Venue may be raised by a court sua sponte where the defendant has not yet filed a responsive pleading and the time for doing so has not run. *Costlow v. Weeks*, 790 F.2d 1486,


1 1488 (9th Cir. 1986). “A civil action wherein jurisdiction is not founded solely on diversity of
2 citizenship may, except as otherwise provided by law, be brought only in (1) a judicial district
3 where any defendant resides, if all defendants reside in the same State, (2) a judicial district in
4 which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a
5 substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district
6 in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in which the action may otherwise
7 be brought.” 28 U.S.C. § 1331(b); *Costlow*, 790 F.2d at 1488; *Decker Coal Co. v.*
8 *Commonwealth Edison Co.*, 805 F.2d 834, 842 (9th Cir. 1986). “The district court of a district
9 in which is filed a case laying venue in the wrong division or district shall dismiss, or if it be in
10 the interests of justice, transfer such case to any district in or division in which it could have
11 been brought.” 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a).

12 Here, Plaintiff claims constitutional violations originally arising out of events which
13 occurred in the Los Angeles County Jail, which is located in Los Angeles County. No
14 Defendants are alleged to reside in San Diego or Imperial Counties. Therefore, venue is proper
15 in the Central District of California, Western Division, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 84(c)(2), not
16 in the Southern District of California. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1331(b); *Costlow*, 790 F.2d at 1488.

17 **II. Conclusion and Order**

18 Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court shall transfer this
19 case for lack of proper venue, in the interests of justice and for the convenience of all parties,
20 to the docket of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Western
21 Division, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 84(c)(3), 28 U.S.C. § 1331(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a).¹

22 DATED: 8-1-08


HON. NAPOLEON A. JONES, Jr.
United States District Judge

27 ¹ Because the Court finds transfer appropriate, it expresses no opinion and defers ruling on
28 Plaintiff's pending Motion for Appointment of Counsel to the Judge assigned to this case after its
transfer to the Central District of California. The Court does take judicial notice, however, that this
action appears duplicative of another recently filed by Plaintiff, which has also been transferred to the
Central District for lack of proper venue. *See Suchil v. Newton Station, et al.*, S.D. Cal. Civil Case No.
08-1091 JAH (CAB) (June 26, 2008 Order of Transfer), assigned as C.D. Cal. Civil Case No. CV08-
4353 (VBK) (June 30, 2008).

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
880 FRONT STREET, SUITE 4290
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101-8900


OFFICIAL BUSINESS

RECEIVED

AUG 27 2008

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY

049J82045259
\$ 00.590
08/07/2008
Mailed From 92132
US POSTAGE



50-750

MARIO SUCAL F-90910

Return to
S. O. O.